

Samuel T Poole  
V.

Att. Gen. Jose Brady State  
Police, and Family Court

Civ. No. 05-233 JJF

Jury Demanded

Immunity, and Relief

Misuse of Power, possessed by virtue of State law and made possible only because the wrongdoers is clothed with the authority of State law, is action taken "under the color of State law, U.S. v. Classic, 313 U.S. 299, 326 (1941) and now under Rico Act U.S. v. Riccobene 709 F.2d 214 throw bribery to the Clerk by Ms. Hayes committing Fraud on the Court the defendants can very much correct this problem by investigation the criminal charges See 29 Del. C § 2509(4) and re Eastburn and Son Del. Super., 147 A.2d 921 (1959) because of the Multiple Felony Coverup because the State, County can be held liable because of the Fraud and done nothing about it and the Chain Conspiracy, Bolden v. State, 44 Md. App. 643, 410 A.2d 1085, 1091 and the defendants are under oath to uphold the law Not help commit them Cover up Monell v. New York City Dept. of Social Services, 436 U.S. at 690 n.55 (New Castle Co.).

Now right of Action, Right of injured one to secure redress for violation of my rights Field v. Synthesis Paper, Inc, 9 Storey 135, 215 A.2d 427, 432, A right present to enforce a cause of Action by Suit Mc Mahon v. U.S. C.A. PA

186 F2d 227, 230. Because the party's maintain a Monopoly over the investigation to instead Cover up the Fraud and the Kidnapping of my "Son" Roger V. Town of Black Mountain, 224 U.S. 119, 29 S.E. 2d 203, 205. To be held liable for the cover up and because Defendants Suppose to uphold the law and Policy's Makers, Swartz v. 96uffmaster Alarm Systems Inc., 195 Mich App. 431, 377 N.W. 2d 393, 395 (DNA Test.) Capital Land and Gun Club V. Lower Colorado River Authority, Tex. App. 622 S.W. 2d 887, 892, This is Prohibited by law, Ruedy V. Toledo Factories Co., 61 Ohio App. 2d 22 NE. 2d 293, 297, 150.0.56.

Legal obligation of the State of Delance and Defendants to uphold the Law and took A Affirmative Step to conceal the Crime U. S. V. Ciambros, C.A., Nev. 750 F2d. 1416, 1417, Forgery Kidnapping, Bribery, etc because cover up for the State to be sued Fort Worth Cavalry Club, Tex V. Sheppard, 125 Tex. 339, 835 (W.2d 1963) And for the state police Bivers V. Six unknown Named Defendant- 403 U.S. 388, 91 S. Ct. (1999) Federal Statutes Prohibiting Types of Conspiracy Sec 18 U.S.C.A. § 371

③ The Claims of Immunity or a defense Leatherman, 507 U.S. at 167 (quoting Elliott V. Perez) 751 F2d 1472, 1473 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1991) the Court, in a unanimous decision Rejected Pleading Set up by the Federal Court Leatherman, 507 U.S. at 168 heightened pleading Standard for Sec 1983 Action after Darr V. White, 767 F2d 79 (3rd Cir. 1985) to where involved allegations that a private Citizen

Conspired with a governmental official to deprive the plaintiff of his Liberty interest in Custody of his son through Fraud See Abbott 164 F.3d At 148, Point all the claims of immunity & Dennis V. Sparks, 449 U.S. 24, 27-28 (1980) act under the Color of Law and Committing Rasc Act in there Official Capacity all Defendants ) But Failure to do there duty in the investigation on the Fraud on the Court Side of the Criminal Charger.

Relief, Custody of My Son and that the defendants to suffer the Mandatory damages to be paid by County and State to be Sued and face a grand Jury for the proper investigation to return all my Rights for Custody of my Son with the defendant facing a Jury for damages in this case. (Harmon and Imminent danger of my sons serious physical injury will continue)

Thank you  
Respectfully Submitted

Samuel T. Poole BN5599  
Po Box 1000  
Hawthorne FL  
16698-100



PA Dept of Corrections  
Inmate Mail

NAME Sayres/Taylor  
NUMBER 55599  
P.O. BOX 1000  
HOUTZDALE PA 16698-1000

Clerk of Court  
U.S. District Court  
844 N. King St Lockbox 18  
Willy & De  
19801-3570

X-RAY  
U.S.M.S.

Inmate Mail  
PA Department of Corrections  
150143813 12